# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



RANDOM RECORD MODELS

by

Donald P. Gaver

October 1975

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for: National Science Foundation Washington, D. C. 20550

## NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

Rear Admiral Isham Linder Superintendent

Jack R. Borsting
Provost

The work reported herein was supported by the National Science Foundation.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

Prepared by:

#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM  3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	2. 6041 ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT 3 CATALOG NOMBER
NPS55Gv75102		
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
RANDOM RECORD MODELS		Technical Report
		~
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7 AUTUORO)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
7. AUTHOR(a)		6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(8)
Donald P. Gaver		
Donard 1: Gaver		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Postgraduate School		AG 476:
Monterey, California 93940		Proposal No. P3P1823
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
National Science Foundation		October 1975
Washington, D. C. 20550		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MANUTORING ACENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// J/Moor-	A form Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		
		Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)

Records

Decisions

Renewals

Reliability

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number)

#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dete Entered)			
found, domains of abstraction studied, and the asymptotic			
lognormality of the $n\frac{th}{}$ record time is shown for Poisson P.			

UNCLASSIFIED

#### RANDOM RECORD MODELS

## Donald P. Gaver Naval Postgraduate School

#### 1. Introduction.

Many situations suggest the study of the following class of models: a point stochastic pacing process, P, with counting process  $\{N(t),t\geq 0\}$  develops over time, and associated with each event or "point" is a real-valued random variable, X; let  $X_n$  denote the  $n\frac{th}{}$  such random variable, realized at the moment N(t) first reaches n. Here P may be interpreted as specifying the occurrence of demands—or supplies—of goods, water, payments or reimbursements, opportunities, etc., while X represents a generic demand or reward size,  $X_n$  being the magnitude of the  $n\frac{th}{}$  event. Alternatively P governs the occurrence of system shocks, possibly caused by floods or earthquakes, and X is a generic shock magnitude.

The objective of this paper is to introduce various simple models for P, and for  $\{X_n\}$  and to study the occurrence of record events ("new highs") in the X-sequence as they appear under the stimulus of P. Related investigations are those into the classical record problem by Barton and Mallows [1], Chandler [2], Foster and Stuart [7], and Shorrock, e.g. [13], [14]. The studies of extremal processes by Dwass [4], [5], see also Resnick [12] and Resnick and Rubinovitch [11] also are pertinent. In particular

Research support from NSF Grant AG476 at the Naval Postgraduate School is gratefully acknowledged. I wish to acknowledge useful comments by George Humfeld, Mark Westcott, and the referee.

Pickands, in [10], allows record events to be Poisson paced. The results reported in this paper are mainly explicit forms, and domains of attraction, for first record-time distributions when P is one of several familiar point processes (Poisson, renewal, pure birth). In addition we point out that our distributions may be interpreted as equipment lifetime distributions in the reliability sense, and that the classical "marriage problem" of sequential decision theory, cf. De Groot [3], may be viewed as a record problem.

#### 2. Process Specification.

A point stochastic pacing process, P, with counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  governs the occurrence of values, denoted by  $\{X_n, n=0,1,2,\ldots\}$ . Assume P and  $\{X_n\}$  to be mutually independent, and the  $\{X_n\}$  to be an i.i.d. sequence with absolutely continuous d.f. F(x). Mainly we shall assume that P is an orderly process, so we can speak of the times between successive events in P; let the  $n\frac{th}{t}$  of these be  $\{T_n, n=1,2,\ldots\}$  so that the time of the  $n\frac{th}{t}$  process event is  $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ ,  $t_n = 1,2,\ldots$  Suppose that at  $t_n = 0$  a reference value,  $t_n = 1,2,\ldots$  is available;  $t_n = 1$  is a random variable with d.f.  $t_n = 1$ .

Definition. A first record with respect to  $X_0$  occurs at  $t = t_n$  if (a)  $X_i < X_0$ , all  $i < n_1$  (b)  $X_{n_1} > X_0$ , in which case  $\tau_1 = t_{n_1} =$  the first record time, and  $X_{n_1} = R_1$ , the first record value. Subsequently, a  $k = t_n$  record occurs at time  $t_{n_k} = \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_i$  if (a)  $X_i < R_{k-1}$ ,  $n_{k-1} < i < n_k$ , (b)  $X_{n_k} > R_{k-1}$ , and  $\tau_k = t_{n_k} - t_{n_k-1}$ ,  $R_k = X_{n_k}$ . Alternatively

$$\tau_1 = \inf\{t: \max_{n \le N(t)} X_n > X_0\}$$
 (2.1)

$$R_1 = X_{N(\tau_1)}' \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tau_1 + \tau_2 = \inf\{t: \max_{n \le N(t)} X_n > R_1\}$$

$$R_2 = X_{N(\tau_1 + \tau_2)} \cdots$$

#### 3. Poisson Paced First Records, with Variations.

Suppose P is Poisson with time-dependent rate  $\lambda$ (t), and hazard  $\Lambda$ (t) =  $\int_0^t \lambda(t')dt'$ . If  $F_0(\cdot)$  denotes the d.f. of  $X_0$ , and  $F(\cdot)$  the d.f. of  $\{X_n, n=1,2,\ldots\}$ , then

$$P\{\tau_{(1)} \in (dt), R_1 \in (dz)\} = \int_{\infty}^{z} e^{-\Lambda(t) [1-F(x)]} dF_0(x) d\Lambda(t) dF(z)$$
 (3.1)

from which various special cases of interest immediately appear.

### 3.1 $F_0(\cdot) = F(\cdot)$ .

In this case the integration is immediate, and

$$P\{\tau_1 \in (dt), R_1 \in (dz)\} = \frac{e^{-\Lambda(t)[1-F(z)]} - e^{-\Lambda(t)}}{\Lambda(t)} d\Lambda(t)dF(z). \quad (3.2)$$

The marginal d.f. of record size is obtained by integrating out t in (3.1); the density is

$$P\{R_1 \in (dz)\} = -\log[1-F(z)]dF(z)$$
 (3.3)

just as in the classical setup. The marginal d.f. of  $\tau_1$  is given by integrating first on z. Most simply, however,

$$P\{\tau_1 > t \mid X_0 = x\} = e^{-\Lambda(t)[1-F(x)]};$$
 (3.4)

Removal of the condition using  $F_0 = F$  yields

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \frac{1 - e^{-\Lambda(t)}}{\Lambda(t)}$$
 (3.5)

Note that in this, and in other, cases  $P\{\tau_1 > t\}$  is given by integration of the generating function of the counting process of P:

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\Lambda(t)} \frac{\left[\Lambda(t)\right]^n}{n!} F^n(x) dF(x).$$
 (3.6)

Further note that since  $\Lambda(t)$  is non-decreasing, then if h > 0

$$E[\tau_1] = \int_0^\infty P\{\tau_1 > t\} dt = \int_0^h \frac{1 - e^{-\Lambda(t)}}{\Lambda(t)} dt + \int_h^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-\Lambda(t)}}{\Lambda(t)} dt,$$

$$(1-e^{-\Lambda(h)})$$
  $\int_{h}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\Lambda(t)} \le E[\tau_1] \le h + \int_{h}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\Lambda(t)}$ 

so

$$E[\tau_1] < \infty \text{ iff } \int_h^\infty \frac{dt}{\Lambda(t)} < \infty \quad \forall h > 0.$$
 (3.7)

For time homogeneous Poisson P it is clear that no finite moments exist.

3.2 Random (Gamma) Hazard,  $F_0(\cdot) = F(\cdot)$ . If  $\{\Lambda(t)\}$  is a gamma process, then  $E[e^{-s\Lambda(t)}] = (\frac{\mu}{\mu+s})^{kt}$ ,

{N(t)} is a negative binomial process. Therefore

$$P\{\tau_{1} > t\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu + 1 - F(x)} \right]^{kt} dF(x) = \frac{\mu}{1 - kt} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{1 - kt} - 1 \right]$$
 (3.8)

and

and

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} \sim \frac{\mu}{kt} = \frac{1}{E[\Lambda(t)]} \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$
 (3.9)

Letting k decrease but such that  $\frac{k}{\mu}=1$  induces an increasingly long Pareto tail, and a buildup of mass near zero. A similar effect is achieved by mixing Poisson P's rate parameter by the gamma (applying a gamma prior to  $\lambda$ ):

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda t} e^{-\mu \lambda} \frac{(\mu \lambda)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)} \mu d\lambda = \frac{\mu}{t} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{t}{\mu}\right)^{-k+1} - 1}{-k+1}$$
 (3.10)

If P has been observed throughout the time interval (-T,0), and j events occurred, then the posterior is gamma with  $\mu = T + a$ , k = j + b, where the prior density is  $p(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda a} \frac{(\lambda a)^{b-1}}{\Gamma(b)} a$  and (3.6) provides a Bayes-type predictor of the distribution of time

until the first record, the latter being referred to an initial  $X_{\text{O}}$  with d.f. F.

#### 3.3 Process Observed for Initial Time T.

If the P-paced process has been observed for (-T,0) and further records are referred to the largest X occurring therein, then

$$F_0(x) = e^{-\Lambda(T)[1-F(x)]},$$
 (3.11)

and application to (3.4) yields

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \frac{\Lambda(T)}{\Lambda(T) + \Lambda(t)} \{1 - e^{-[\Lambda(T) + \Lambda(t)]}\};$$
 (3.12)

with <u>confidence</u> given by the r.h.s. of (3.12) there will be no new maximum in (0,t) greater than that in (-T,0).

### 3.4 The Distribution of $\tau_n$ .

Since  $\tau_n$ , the time between  $n-1\frac{st}{m}$  and  $n\frac{th}{m}$  records does not depend upon the distribution of X, we may capitalize upon the Markovian property of the exponential,  $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-x)$ , to characterize the distribution of  $\tau_n$  for any n. Now for the time-homogeneous Poisson and exponential X,

$$P\{\tau_n \varepsilon (dt) \mid R_{n-1} = x\} = e^{-\lambda t e^{-x}} \lambda e^{-x} dt.$$
 (3.13)

We can write the characteristic function (ch.f.) of log  $\tau_n$  as follows. First,

$$E[e^{iz \log \tau_n} | R_{n-1} = x] = \int_0^\infty t^{iz} e^{-\lambda t e^{-x}} \lambda e^{-x} dx$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{iz} e^{izx} \int_0^\infty u^{iz} e^{-u} du. \quad (3.14)$$

Next remove the condition using the fact that  $R_{n-1}$  is gamma:

$$E[e^{iz \log \tau_n}] = (\frac{1}{\lambda})^{iz} \int_0^{\infty} e^{izx} e^{-x} \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dx \int_0^{\infty} u^{iz} e^{-u} du$$

$$= e^{iz \log \lambda} (\frac{1}{1-iz})^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{iz \log u} e^{-u} du, \quad (3.15)$$

which may, on the basis of ch.f. unicity, be interpreted as saying that the distribution of  $\lambda \tau_n$  is that of the sum of two independent random variables, one being gamma with mean and variance equalling n, and the other being the logarithm of a unit exponential. Moreover, the ch.f. of  $\frac{1}{n} \log \lambda \tau_n$  is

$$E[e^{iz} \frac{\log \lambda \tau_n}{n}] = \left(1 - \frac{iz}{n}\right)^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{iz_n - \log u} e^{-u} du \qquad (3.16)$$

$$+ e^{iz} \qquad \text{as} \qquad n + \infty$$

and invocation of the continuity theorem for the ch.f. shows that  $n\sqrt{\lambda \tau_n} \rightarrow e$  in probability. Finally, consider the ch.f. of  $\log \lambda \tau_n - n$  As n becomes large the gamma component dominates and the continuity theorem shows that the latter sequence of r.v. tends to N(0,1) in law. These results are analogous to those of Neuts [9] for the classical record problem.

#### 4. Renewal-Paced Records.

Suppose the pacing process P is an arbitrary renewal process with i.i.d. interevent times  $\{U_n^{}\}$  having d.f.  $H(\bullet)$ , and H(0+)=0. Then

$$P\{\tau_1 > t \mid X_0 = x\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [H^{n*}(t) - H^{(n+1)*}(t)]F^n(x)$$
 (4.1)

and, Laplace transforming, the following explicit form appears:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} P\{\tau_1 > t \mid X_0 = x\} dt = \frac{1 - \hat{H}(s)}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \hat{H}(s) F(x)}$$
(4.2)

where  $\hat{H}$  is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of H. If  $F_0 = F$ , we integrate to obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} P\{\tau_{1} > t\} dt = \frac{1 - \hat{H}(s)}{-s\hat{H}(s)} \log[1 - \hat{H}(s)], \qquad (4.3)$$

so

$$E[e^{-s\tau}] = 1 + \frac{1 - \hat{H}(s)}{\hat{H}(s)} \log[1 - \hat{H}(s)]. \tag{4.4}$$

Example 1. If P is time homogeneous Poisson we have seen that  $P\{\tau_1 > t\} \sim \frac{k}{\lambda t}$  as  $t \to \infty$ , just as is true when  $H(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \ge 1/\lambda \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 1/\lambda \end{cases}$ ,

i.e. when records are regularly paced.

Example 2. If H is a stable distribution, then  $\hat{H}(s) = e^{s\alpha}$  (0 <  $\alpha$  < 1) and this and (4.3) yield

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} P\{\tau_{1} > t\} = \frac{e^{s} - 1}{s} \log[1 - e^{-s}] \sim -\alpha s^{\alpha - 1} \log s. \quad (4.5)$$

so by a Tauberian theorem (Feller [6], p. 447),

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} \sim \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} \log t \qquad (t \to \infty)$$
 (4.6)

For instance if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  then H tails off like  $t^{-1/2}$  while the d.f. of  $\tau_1$  tails off like  $t^{-1/2}$  log t.

#### 4.1 Domains of Attraction.

Suppose that n independent copies of  $\tau_1$  accumulated and summed:  $S_n = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \tau_1(i)$ . We record several facts about the behavior of  $S_n$  for large n ascertainable from general theory; see Feller, II [6], and Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [8], p. 175, Theorem 2.

- A. If  $P\{\tau_a > t\} \sim \frac{K}{\lambda t}$ , as is true when P is Poisson, regular-spaced, and in other situations as well,  $S_n$  is attracted to a stable law of order  $\alpha = 1$ . Direct expansion of the relevant Laplace transform around s = 0 shows that  $S_n/n \log n \to 1$  in probability.
- B. If P is renewal with stable law of order  $\alpha$  (0< $\alpha$ <1) interevent times, then because of the asymptotic behavior of 4.6), the further results of Feller [6], pp. 448-449 immediately imply that

$$\frac{S_n}{a_n} \stackrel{\text{(d)}}{\to} Z_{\alpha} \tag{4.7}$$

 ${f z}_{m{lpha}}$  being once again stable of order  ${f lpha}$ . Here  ${f a}_{m n}$  satisfies

$$a_n^{\alpha} \sim \alpha n \log a_n$$
 (4.8)

and  $a_n > n^{1/\alpha}$  for large n: obviously a strong norming is required to bring the stable-paced record times back to stable. A suitable normalizing constant derived from (4.8) is seen to be

$$a_n \sim (n \log n)^{1/\alpha};$$
 (4.9)

This result also follows immediately from a transform continuity theorem. Simply scale by  $a_n$ ,  $(a_n \rightarrow \infty)$ .

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{s}{a_n}}\right]^n = \left\{1 + \left[\exp\left(\frac{s}{a_n}\right)^{\alpha} - 1\right] \log\left[1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{s}{a_n}\right)^{\alpha}\right]\right\}^n$$
 (4.10)

and expand in Taylor's series to see that if  $\frac{n \log a_n^{\alpha}}{a_n^{\alpha}} \to 1$  then the above (4.10) tends to  $e^{-s^{\alpha}}$ , the stable law ( $\alpha$ ) transform.

#### 5. Furry Records.

For variety let P be the simple pure-birth or Furry-Yule process ([6], I, p. 450) generated by  $\lambda_n = n\lambda$ . Start with a single progenitor at t=0 (of value  $X_0$  drawn from F(x)). Now N(t), the number of new births in (0,t), is geometric, and each is endowed with a value, X, that is an independent copy of  $X_0$ . Hence

$$g(z,t) = E[z^{N(t)}] = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{1-z(1-e^{-\lambda t})}$$
 (5.1)

and

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \int_0^1 g(z, t) dz = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t} dF(x)}{1 - F(x) [1 - e^{-\lambda t}]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}{1 - e^{-\lambda t}} = \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1}$$

$$= \int_{k=0}^\infty \frac{B_i}{i!} (\lambda t)^i, \qquad (5.2)$$

where the B<sub>i</sub> are the Bernoulli numbers. Integration of (5.2) yields the mean of the first record time:

$$E[\tau_1] = \lambda^{-1}[1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\pi^2}{6} \simeq \frac{1.65}{\lambda}$$
 (5.3)

Thus the Furry P process encourages a record to occur after a mean delay of very nearly half way between the mean second and third Furry jump times.

5.1 General Pure-Birth P; Laplace Transform.

If P is pure-birth with general intensity  $\lambda_{n}$  we find by following a recurrent events argument and an integration that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} P\{\tau_{1} > t\} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\lambda_{n}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j} + s} .$$
 (5.4)

Now apply a Tauberian theorem allowing  $s \rightarrow 0$  to obtain

$$E\left[\tau_{1}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\lambda_{n}} , \qquad (5.5)$$

which shows that the first record time possesses a mean iff the series on the r.h.s. converges.

#### 6. Models.

The P-paced record process suggests several specific models.

#### 6.1 A Shock Model in Reliability.

Suppose  $X_n$  represents the magnitude of the  $n\frac{th}{m}$  shock delivered by P. Let  $X_0$  represent the "strength" of a component manufactured to inhabit the P environment; the component survives to time t if no shock in time t exceeds  $X_0$ . Hence (3.5), (3.8), (3.10), and (3.12) all may be interpreted as component life distributions. It may be reasonable to replace  $F_{X_0}$  by  $[F(x)]^{k+1}$ , k > 0, arguing that the manufacturer will attempt to build in a safety factor; safety increases with increasing k. In this case (3.2) becomes

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = (k+1) \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\Lambda(t)w} (1-w)^{k} dw$$
 (6.1)

Example. If F(x) is taken to be exponential with parameter  $\theta$ , so  $E[X] = \theta^{-1}$  then, having observed an X we can consider setting  $X_0 = r^{-1}X$ , r < 1 representing a safety factor. Then

$$P\{X_0 \le X\} = 1 - e^{-\theta X}$$
 (6.2)

and

$$P\{\tau_1 > t\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t \left[e^{-\theta x}\right]} r\theta e^{-r\theta x} dx$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{(\lambda t)^r} \int_0^{\lambda t} \frac{e^{-z} z^{r-1}}{\Gamma(z)} dz \sim \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{(\lambda t)^r}$$
(6.3)

as  $t \to \infty$ , and an exceedingly long tail results if r < 1, representing conservative design. The remarks of Section 4 indicate that sums of times to failure are attracted to the stable law of order r if 0 < r < 1.

#### 6.2 The Marriage Problem.

At the moments of event occurrence in a Poisson process a decision maker (art collector, suitor, employer, or what have you) is shown objects of value X. Allowed to inspect for only a finite time, and thwarted from any return to previous opportunities, he seeks to select that X of maximum value to occur in the interval.

Suppose the decision maker sets aside a time T to look over the field, leaving himself U for choice; and enjoyment or regret. U + T is fixed. Let his decision rule be to examine all X-values to occur in T, and then to select the first X-record, if any, thereafter. He wishes to choose U in such a way as to maximize his probability of ending up with the greatest X-value to occur during U + T. Following this rule enables him to select the best X to occur throughout (0,U+T) with probability nearly  $e^{-1} = 0.368...$ , exactly as in the classical formulation, cf. De Groot [3], in the event that opportunities come thick and fast, i.e. as  $\lambda + \infty$ . For suppose he confronts the time period (T,T+U), committed to picking the first record therein, if any occurs. Given x was the record during (0,T), the probability that the first record to occur subsequently during (T,U+T) is also the last is

$$\phi(U,x) = \int_{0}^{U} e^{-\lambda t [1-F(x)]} \lambda dt \int_{x}^{\infty} dF(y) e^{-(U-t)[1-F(y)]}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{U} \frac{e^{-\lambda t [1-F(x)]} - e^{-\lambda U[1-F(x)]}}{U-t} dt. \tag{6.4}$$

The best opportunity during (0,T) has distribution

$$F_0(x,T) = e^{-\lambda T[1-F(x)]}$$
 (6.5)

and so the probability that the best overall is picked is

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{T}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{x}) dF_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T})$$
 (6.6)

Now interchange integration order and invoke (3.12) to obtain

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(T) = \int_{0}^{U} \frac{dt}{U-t} \left\{ \frac{T}{T+t} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda (T+t)} \right) - \frac{T}{T+U} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda (T+U)} \right) \right\}$$
(6.7)

If  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  the integral tends (bounded convergence) to

$$\phi(T) = \frac{T}{T+U} \int_{0}^{U} \frac{dt}{T+t} = \frac{T}{T+U} \log \frac{T+U}{T}$$

$$= -T \log T \quad \text{if} \quad T+U=1, \tag{6.8}$$

and differentiation shows that  $\varphi$  is maximized for  $T=e^{-1}$ . Finally,  $\varphi(e^{-1})=e^{-1}$ , agreeing with the classical solution, see De Groot [3], p. 331. Now if the decision maker values the time to enjoy his prize as well as its attainment then he may be tempted to optimize  $\max(U-\tau_1,0)$ ,  $\tau_1$  being the time of the first and only record to occur after T, measured from T as origin.

Then his expected enjoyment is

$$\psi(T) = \frac{T}{T+U} \int_{0}^{U} \frac{(U-t)}{T+t} dt = T \log(\frac{T+U}{T}) - \frac{UT}{T+U}$$

$$= T \log(\frac{T+U}{T}) - \frac{UT}{T+U}$$

$$= -T \log T - T(1-T)$$
(6.9)

with maximizing value close to T=0.2, and  $\psi(0.2)=0.16$ . If our decision maker chooses the latter strategy he wins with approximate probability 0.32 rather than the 0.37 probability of success achieved under the classical decision rule. On the other hand, if he chooses to observe for  $T=e^{-1}$  his payoff under the time-weighted utility is 0.14. Perhaps T=0.25 will appeal as an easily remembered compromise that nearly maximizes both goals mentioned. Finally, if  $\lambda$  becomes small it may be seen that optimum  $T \to 0.5$  if the above rule is followed. However, this rule cannot be optimum under such light traffic situations: for very small  $\lambda$  one does best by choosing the first opportunity to appear.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Barton, D. E. and Mallows, C. L. (1965). Some aspects of the random sequence. Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 236-260.
- [2] Chandler, K. N. (1952). The distribution and frequency of record values. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. (B) 14, 220-228.
- [3] De Groot, M. H. (1970). Optimal Statistical Decisions, McGraw Hill, Inc.
- [4] Dwass, M. (1964). Extremal processes. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 1718-1725.
- [5] Dwass, M. (1966). Extremal processes II. Ill. Journal of Math. 10, 381-395.
- [6] Feller, W. (1967 and 1971). An Introduction to the Theory of Probability and Its Applications, I and II. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [7] Foster, F. G. and Stuart, A. (1954). Distribution-free tests in time series based on the breaking of records. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. (B) 16, 1-22.
- [8] Gnedenko, G. and Komogorov, A. (1954). Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.
- [9] Neuts, M. F. (1967). Waiting times between record observations. J. Appl. Prob. 4, 206-208.
- [10] Pickands, J., III (1971). The two-dimensional Poisson process and extremal processes. J. Appl. Prob. 8, 745-756.
- [11] Resnick, S. I. and Rubinovitch, M. (1973). The structure of extremal processes. Adv. in Appl. Prob. 5, 21-22.
- [12] Resnick, S. I. (1974). Inverses of extremal processes. Adv. in Appl. Prob. 6, 392-406.
- [13] Shorrock, R. W. (1972). On record values and record times. J. Appl. Prob. 9, 316-326.
- [14] Shorrock, R. W. (1973). Record values and inter-record times. J. Appl. Prob. 10, 543-555.

#### INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	Copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
Dean of Research Code 023 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Library (Code 0212) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
Library (Code 55) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
D. R. Cox Department of Mathematics Imperial College London, SW7 England	1
D. R. McNeil Department of Statistics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08540	1
J. A. Hooke E. Wolman Bell Telephone Labs Holmdel, New Jersey 07733	1
B. J. McDonald Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
L. Kleinrock R. Muntz Computer Science University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1
J. M. Wozencraft Electrical Engineering M.I.T. Boston, Massachusetts 02139	1

	Copies
D. L. Iglehart Department of Operations Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305	1
J. Riordan Department of Mathematics Rockefeller University New York, New York 10021	1
G. Fishman University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
P. T. Holmes Department of Mathematics Clemson University Clemson, South Carolina 29631	1
R. Elashoff Biomathematics University of California San Francisco, California 94122	1
Gene Fisher Management Science Department RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California 90401	1
R. M. Stark Statistics and Computer Science University of Delaware Newark, Delaware 19711	1
M. Mazumdar Robert Hooke Mathematics Department Westinghouse Research Labs Churchill Boro Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15235	1
J. R. Capra Center for Naval Analysis 1401 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209	1
Roy Welsch Sloan School M.I.T. Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1

	Copie
John Lehoczky Statistics Department	1
Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213	
D. P. Gaver Code 55 Naval Postgraduate School	25
Monterey, California 93940	

U170553



